

Journal of Linguistic and Intercultural Education - JoLIE
Manuscript Review Form

Manuscript Information

- **Manuscript ID:**
- **Title:**
- **Reviewer:**
- **Date manuscript received:**
- **Date review returned:**



UNIVERSITATEA
1 DECEMBRIE 1918
DIN ALBA IULIA

1 Decembrie 1918 University of Alba Iulia

Centre for Research and Innovation in Linguistic Education -
CIEL



Part A – Evaluation of criteria

Please indicate your evaluation of each of the nine criteria by placing an 'X' in the appropriate column. Please enter comments specific to particular criterion in the comments row below each criterion.

Criteria to be rated	Excellent	Acceptable	Unsatisfactory	N/A
Complete, clear and well-organized presentation				
Comments:				
Significance of research questions / research hypothesis				
Comments:				
Description of the problem within a theoretical framework (where appropriate)				
Comments:				
Literature review demonstrates a clear relationship to the problem				
Comments:				
Appropriateness of research design and methodology				
Comments:				
Accurate description of research findings				
Comments:				
Sound argument, analysis and interpretation of data				
Comments:				
Logical conclusion and implications for education/linguistic theory, research and/or practice				
Comments:				
Appropriate referencing conventions are respected				
Comments:				



UNIVERSITATEA
1 DECEMBRIE 1918
DIN ALBA IULIA

1 Decembrie 1918 University of Alba Iulia

**Centre for Research and Innovation in Linguistic Education -
CIEL**



Part B – Overall assessment

Overall recommendation

- Accept**
- Accept with minor revisions**
- Resubmit after major revision**
- Reject**

Summary of the manuscript (2–4 sentences)

(Briefly describe the aim, methodological approach, and main contribution of the manuscript.)

Part C – Originality and Significance

Originality of the work:

- High
- Moderate
- Low

Scientific significance and contribution to the field

(Comment on originality, relevance for linguistic and intercultural education, and potential impact.)

Part D – Language and Presentation

English language quality:

- Excellent
- Good
- Needs minor editing
- Needs major editing



UNIVERSITATEA
1 DECEMBRIE 1918
DIN ALBA IULIA

1 Decembrie 1918 University of Alba Iulia

**Centre for Research and Innovation in Linguistic Education -
CIEL**



Overall organization and clarity:

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Comments:

Part E – Ethical and Integrity Considerations

Plagiarism indicators

- No indications observed
- Minor concerns (please specify)
- Serious concerns (please specify)

(Examples: unexplained stylistic inconsistency, unattributed paraphrasing, recycled text, mismatched references.)

Comments:

Use of AI-assisted tools

- No indications observed
- Possible use of AI tools, **adequately declared**
- Possible use of AI tools, **not declared**

(Please note: this section concerns transparency and disclosure, not the legitimacy of AI-assisted writing per se.)

Comments:

Part F – Specific Comments for the Authors

(Numbered, constructive comments. Please indicate page and line numbers where possible.)

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.



UNIVERSITATEA
1 DECEMBRIE 1918
DIN ALBA IULIA

1 Decembrie 1918 University of Alba Iulia

**Centre for Research and Innovation in Linguistic Education -
CIEL**



Part G – Confidential Comments to the Editor (optional)

(These comments will not be shared with the authors.)

Reviewer's signature (optional):

Date: